

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,319	02/21/2002		Geun Su Lee	30205/38088	8187
4743	7590	08/08/2003			
MARSHALI 6300 SEARS 233 S. WACK CHICAGO, II	TOWER ER DRI	VE	I LLP	EXAMINER THORNTON, YVETTE C	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				1752	
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		I A	
	•	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summary	10/080,319	LEE ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of the	Yvette C. Thornton	1752
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address
- Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t - Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, y received by the Office later than three months after the mailing attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
	Pernansiva to communication(a) filed a confi		
• —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 F</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi		
		s action is non-final.	
c Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allowallosed in accordance with the practice under be of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.		
	) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
	aim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)□ Cla	aim(s) is/are rejected.		
	aim(s) is/are objected to.		<i>r</i>
8)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.	
Application	Papers		
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ed or b)☐ objected to by the Exam	iner.
A	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abevance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a)
11)∐ The	proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∭ approved b)∭ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
lf	approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	y to this Office action.	
	oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	miner.	
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)☐ Ack	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).
	ll b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	,	
1.[	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2.	_		ı No.
3 * See t		y documents have been received	in this National Stage
14) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application)
a) 📙	The translation of the foreign language proviously owledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application has been received	ved
Notice of R Notice of D Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Procedure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)   Notice of Informal Dat	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademar O-326 (Rev. 04-	rk Office 01) Office Acti	1 Summary	rt of Paper No. 3

Application/Control Number: 10/080,319

Art Unit: 1752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is written in reference to application number 10/080319 filed on February 21, 2002.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10 and 19-24, drawn to a monomer, polymer and resist composition thereof, classified in class 430, subclass 270.1.
  - II. Claims 11-18, drawn to the method of making the said polymer, classified in class 525, subclass 216.
  - III. Claims 25-30, drawn to a process of forming a pattern, classified in class 430, subclass 322.
  - IV. Claim 31, drawn to a semiconductor element, classified in class 438, subclass99.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
  - a. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as the method of instant claim 11 or the method of instant claim 15.
  - b. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Application/Control Number: 10/080,319 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as in liquid crystal display or in the field of coatings.

- c. Inventions I and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a photoresist composition usable in the filed of coatings and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- d. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and process of using the product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product. Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of

Application/Control Number: 10/080,319

Art Unit: 1752

making and method of using. The product claim will be examined along with the elected invention (MPEP § 806.05(i)).

Page 4

- e. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are a process of making a polymer and a semiconductor element.
- f. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a silylation process or exposure using polarized light.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1752

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvette C. Thornton whose telephone number is 703-305-0589. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8-6:30.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet C. Baxter can be reached on 703-308-2303. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

Yvette Clarke Thornton

Junior Examiner Art Unit 1752

yct

August 6, 2003